

Port Health and Public Protection Key Risks

The table below shows a selection of our key risks which form part of our Departmental Risk Tracker. These are reported to Committee as part of the periodic Business Plan Progress Reports.

Risk No.	Risk	Gross Risk		Risk Owner / Lead Officer	Existing Controls	Net Risk			Planned Action	Control Evaluation
		Likelihood	Impact			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Status & Direction		
MCP4	Risk of serious injury to staff and service users due to constrained space for vehicle movement which, in the event of a serious accident/fatality could affect the operation and sustainability of the service.	4	4	Market Superintendent Port Health & Public Protection Director	The TOP X risk priority system and a near miss reporting system is in place. Banksman employed at HARC. All accidents fully investigated and any follow up actions implemented.	3	4	A ↔	Review of traffic management controls currently being undertaken.	G
MCP5	Failure by enforcement officers to act within statutory requirements.	3	4	Port Health & Public Protection Director	Competent enforcement officers; clear policies, procedures and decision making; monitoring of enforcement officers	2	4	A ↔		G
MCP6	Failure to meet Air Quality limit values in the City by the prescribed dates.	4	4	Port Health & Public Protection Director	The current systems in place allow the City to demonstrate that it is taking sufficient effective action to help the government and the GLA to meet air quality Limit Values	4	4	R ↔	To be raised at the next SRMG Core Group meeting as this may need to be dealt with as a strategic risk.	A
MCP8	Loss of quarantine licensing due to breach of regulations or legislative change. This would result in the closure of the facility causing financial loss and negative publicity for the City.	3	4	Port Health & Public Protection Director	Current procedures reflect regulatory requirements and are actively managed.	2	1	G ↔		G
Ratings		Risk Status				Control Evaluation				
R - Red		High risk, requiring constant monitoring and deployment of robust control measures.				Existing controls are not satisfactory				
A - Amber		Medium risk, requiring at least quarterly monitoring, further mitigation should be considered.				Existing controls require improvement/Mitigating controls identified but not yet implemented fully				
G - Green		Low risk, less frequent monitoring, consideration may be given to applying less stringent control measures for efficiency gains.				Robust mitigating controls are in place with positive assurance as to their effectiveness				